

Kittiwake Steering Group Agreement Log

Prepared by:	Checked by: Rachael Devine	Approved by: Ian Mackay
<i>GoBe Consultants</i> 31/05/2023	[Redacted]	[Redacted]

Revision Summary				
Rev	Date	Prepared by	Checked by	Approved by
1	27/03/2023	GoBe Consultants	Rachael Devine	Ian Mackay
2	31/05/20230	GoBe Consultants	Rachael Devine	Ian Mackay

Description of Revisions			
Rev	Page	Section	Description
1	All	All	New Document
2	5	1.3	Summary of KCSG review of KIMP
2	13		Summary of KCSG comments on KIMP

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. Introduction	5
1.1. Overview	5
1.2. The Kittiwake Compensation Steering Group Members.....	5
2. Agreement Log	5

BEIS	Department for Business, Energy & Industrial Strategy
ESC	East Suffolk Council
FFC	Flamborough and Filey Coast
GYBC	Great Yarmouth Borough Council
KCSG	Kittiwake Compensation Steering Group
KIMP	Kittiwake Implementation Monitoring Plan
MMO	Marine Management Organisation
NE	Natural England
PoW	Plan of Work
RSPB	Royal Society for the Protection of Birds
SoS	Secretary of State
SPA	Special Protection Area

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Overview

ScottishPower Renewables and Vattenfall have been working collaboratively on the delivery of the kittiwake compensation requirements for East Anglia ONE North and TWO (the 'East Anglia ONE North and TWO') and Norfolk Boreas and Norfolk Vanguard (the 'Norfolk Projects'). Further details of the collaborative approach are provided in Section 1.2 and 1.3 of the Kittiwake Implementation Plan (KIMP).

Under the Norfolk Projects' and East Anglia Projects' consents, there are requirements to set up Kittiwake Compensation Steering Groups (KCSG) to discuss and agree the delivery of kittiwake compensation. The Norfolk Projects, due to their requirement to deliver a greater level of compensation, set up the KCSG and took a secretarial role. Representatives of the East Anglia ONE North and TWO were in attendance from the third and fourth KCSG Meetings (11th August 2022 and 6th October 2022).

During the third Norfolk Projects' KCSG it was agreed any discussions and subsequent agreements on compensation that were made at the Norfolk Projects-led meetings are applicable for the East Anglia ONE North and TWO and therefore have been carried through into the East Anglia Projects' KIMP.

On the basis of this agreement, ScottishPower Renewables has prepared this Agreement Log utilising agreements made between the Norfolk Projects and members of the KCSG. The Agreement Log has been updated to reflect specific comments and positions for the East Anglia ONE North and TWO. ScottishPower Renewables has sought to confirm agreement on these matters with the KCSG.

1.2. The Kittiwake Compensation Steering Group Members

The KCSG comprises of representatives of;

- The East Anglia Projects;
- The Norfolk Projects;
- Natural England (NE);
- The Marine Management Organisation (MMO);
- East Suffolk Council (ESC);
- Great Yarmouth Borough Council; (GYBC) and
- The Royal Society for the Protection of Birds (RSPB)

1.3. KIMP Review by KCSG

On the 18th April 2023 Revision 1 of the East Anglia ONE North and TWO KIMP was submitted to the KCSG for review. Comments were received from ESC and NE, these are summarised in the table below. Revision 2 of the KIMP has been produced to address relevant comments.

2. AGREEMENT LOG

See below the Agreement Log. The KCSG positions presented in the log are as per the final iteration of the Agreement Log as submitted alongside the Norfolk Projects' KIMP. Should there be further East Anglia ONE North and TWO specific queries from the KCSG members following review of the East Anglia Projects' KIMP then these positions will be updated.

Topic	Norfolk Projects	Natural England	East Suffolk Council	Great Yarmouth Borough Council	RSPB	MMO	East Anglia ONE North and TWO	Outstanding Actions
ScottishPower Renewables Steering Group Meeting Attendance								
Agreement that any agreements reached on technical matters during the Norfolk Projects' KCSG Meetings are also applicable to East Anglia ONE North and TWO	Norfolk Projects agree with this position	Agreed	Agreed	Agreed	Agreed	Agreed	The East Anglia ONE North and TWO' KIMP reflects all agreements made to date during the Norfolk Projects' KCSG meetings	
Agreement that from a stakeholder resource point of view, there is no need for ScottishPower Renewables to conduct a separate round of KCSG Meetings	Norfolk Projects agree that there is no need for a separate round of Steering Group Meetings	Agreed	Agreed	Agreed	Agreed	Agreed	The East Anglia ONE North and TWO' specific KIMP documentation has been circulated for review and agreement via email.	
Plan of Work for Steering Group								
Agreement on the Plan of Work (PoW)	Drafted and updated to address comments from all KCSG members and received email approval from all members to proceed under the terms detailed within. Submitted the documents.	Agreed. Draft sent in advance of submission to BEIS, NE confirmed no comments via email with SPR.	Agreed. Draft sent in advance of submission to BEIS, timing was questioned by ESC and answered by SPR via email.	Agreed. Draft sent in advance of submission to BEIS, no comments received.	Agreed. Draft sent in advance of submission to BEIS, no comments received.	Agreed. Draft sent in advance of submission to BEIS, no comments received.	The East Anglia ONE North and TWO PoW has been submitted and approved by BEIS (16/01/2023)	
Structures								
Agreement on the proposed locations (paragraph 3 (a) of Compensation schedule) -general	Norfolk Projects have selected four possible onshore locations and are in the process of identifying possible offshore locations. Order of preference is Lowestoft port and, Great Yarmouth Port, Herbert Barnes Park and Bure Park (latter two are both within Great Yarmouth). All options need to be kept in the mix until planning permission has been granted and landowner agreements are signed	NE, whilst acknowledging the need to maintain options, have a strong preference for the Lowestoft location. Great Yarmouth Port is a less attractive option but has the potential to be a viable location. We would consider other options within Lowestoft or an offshore structure near to the colony as strongly preferable to the Bure Park and Herbert Barnes Park options, which we consider highly unlikely to attract kittiwake.	Appreciate that options need to be maintained at this stage, but ESC can only comment on sites proposed within our District.	Appreciate that options need to be maintained at this stage but there is the potential for some challenges with LVIA and interactions with other planning applications at the Great Yarmouth port site and possible significant impacts at the two inland locations given their designated status.	Strong ecological preference for the Lowestoft location. Whilst appreciative that options need to be maintained at this stage, RSPB would consider all Lowestoft locations as preferable to any of the options at Great Yarmouth. At Great Yarmouth, the RSPB's preference is for the port location.	When the four sites were presented during KSCG meeting 1 no objections were raised.	The East Anglia ONE North and TWO will deliver compensation collaboratively with the Norfolk Projects at Lowestoft as agreed. Details are presented in the KIMP. Agreement for Lease awarded.	

Topic	Norfolk Projects	Natural England	East Suffolk Council	Great Yarmouth Borough Council	RSPB	MMO	East Anglia ONE North and TWO	Outstanding Actions
Agreement that the proposed location at the port of Lowestoft is a suitable location for a nesting structure	Propose that this location would be suitable as precedent has been set, close to, sea and existing colony and has good access	At KSCG meeting 3 NE confirmed that in their opinion the proposed location at the Port of Lowestoft is suitable for Kittiwake compensation	At KSCG meeting 3 ESC confirmed that in their opinion the proposed location at the Port of Lowestoft is suitable for Kittiwake compensation.	Do not disagree but is outside of GYBC remit to comment	At KSCG meeting 3 RSPB confirmed that in their opinion the proposed location at the Port of Lowestoft is suitable for Kittiwake compensation	At KSCG meeting 3 MMO confirmed that in their opinion the proposed location at the Port of Lowestoft is suitable for Kittiwake compensation	The East Anglia ONE North and TWO will deliver compensation collaboratively with the Norfolk Projects at Lowestoft as agreed. Details are presented in the KIMP.	
Agreement on the Wall Structure design (paragraph 3 (b) of Compensation schedule) – Design	Designs have been updated to address comments on <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Individual hatches for nest Mess avoidance on lower nests Prevention of nesting on access structure 	Suggested that smaller hatches should be included to limit disturbance to fewer nests. This feature has been included and agreed. Concerned that large gulls might nest on the roof so it should be designed in such a way to ensure this is not an issue, including considering the use of deterrents. At KSCG meeting 3 confirmed their agreement with wall design.	Design should prevent mess being deposited on nests below and for design to prevent birds from nesting on the access structure. These have both been addressed and whilst ESC agrees with the rationale for the final design, formal approval is subject to planning.	Agree the designs as presented at KSCG meeting 2	Agree the designs as presented at KSCG meeting 2	At KSCG meeting 3 MMO confirmed that in agreement with wall design.	The East Anglia ONE North and TWO will deliver compensation collaboratively with the Norfolk Projects utilising the agreed design structure. Details are presented in the KIMP.	
Agreement on the Wall Structure design (paragraph 3 (b) of Compensation schedule) -Layout of structures	Orientation has been prioritised when undertaken site layout work, to maximise the ledge space available for nests whilst maintaining a suitable aspect of the nests. This has resulted in three separate wall structures. A 3D model has been produced to show the space between the wall structures which is approx. 7.5m.	Need to see the reasoning for designing the layout as currently proposed. Concerned the design for walls might be too constrained to allow good occupancy rates. As the walls are not as tall as the towers, the short spaces between the walls might deter kittiwake from nesting where the aerial access/departure is compromised (20/07/22). Requested a 3D model to show Kittiwake access between wall structures. Now that this has been provided NE are happy with the indicative models. However, note that gaps between walls should be maintained in final detailed design. In agreement with wall design (11/08/2022).	Whilst ESC agrees with the rationale for the final design, formal approval is subject to planning (11/08/2022). Planning permission was granted by ESC (4/10/2022).	In agreement with wall design (11/08/2022).	Have some concerns regarding how close the wall structures are to each other and the potential use of barbed wire for predator proofing due to potential bird/chick entanglement. On seeing 3D models is now happy with spacing between walls. In agreement with wall design (11/08/2022).	Did not attend KSCG meeting 2. In agreement with wall design (11/08/2022).	The East Anglia ONE North and TWO will deliver compensation collaboratively with the Norfolk Projects utilising the agreed design structure. Details are presented in the KIMP	

Topic	Norfolk Projects	Natural England	East Suffolk Council	Great Yarmouth Borough Council	RSPB	MMO	East Anglia ONE North and TWO	Outstanding Actions
Agreement on fence structure (paragraph 3 (b) of Compensation schedule)	<p>The specification of the fence is included within the KIMP in section 5.4. It will adhere to the guidance provided in the RSPB manual on the Predator Exclusion Fence design (White & Hirons 2019) and will:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Have a height of 1.8m, • Have a mesh size of 5 x 10cm, • Be topped with a 45° angled overhang c. 60cm (smooth material or floppy mesh) to the outside, • A narrow skirt will be pinned at the base to the existing concrete using tamper proof bolts, • Have a wire with min gauge of 1mm, • Have a design life of at least 35 years (with regular maintenance) and be maintained or replaced as necessary for the duration of the compensation; and • Be constructed with particular care to ensure that potential weak points (corners and gates) are well installed with minimal gaps. 	<p>NE await circulation before commenting. Agreed at KSCG meeting 4</p>	<p>Agreed at KSCG meeting 4.</p>	<p>GYBC await circulation before commenting. Agreed at KSCG meeting 4.</p>	<p>Satisfied with the commitment to follow RSPB guidance on fence design, with key considerations to avoid use of barbed wire and use an appropriate mesh size that will avoid entrapment risk whilst preventing access by predators.</p>	<p>Agreed at KSCG meeting 4.</p>	<p>The East Anglia ONE North and TWO will deliver compensation collaboratively with the Norfolk Projects utilising the agreed design structure. Details are presented in the KIMP.</p>	
Agreement that the wall structure is most appropriate at the Lowestoft location	<p>Proposed at KSCG meeting 2. Best option because it offers the same benefits as the towers, has a precedent for success in the area and due to lower height, would be hidden by existing wall.</p>	<p>Agreed at KSCG meeting 3.</p>	<p>Agreed at KSCG meeting 3, due to the reasons expressed in the KCSG Meetings</p>	<p>Agreed at KSCG meeting 3.</p>	<p>Agreed at KSCG meeting 3.</p>	<p>Agreed at KSCG meeting 3.</p>	<p>The East Anglia ONE North and TWO will deliver compensation collaboratively with the Norfolk Projects utilising the agreed design structure. Details are presented in the KIMP.</p>	
Agreement that either a wall or tower structure	<p>Due to the orientation of the site and lack screening in the landscape either</p>	<p>In principle agreement of either a wall or tower structure</p>	<p>ESC appreciate that options need to be maintained at this stage,</p>	<p>In principle agreement of either a wall or tower structure being used at</p>	<p>In principle agreement of either a wall or tower structure being used at</p>	<p>In principle agreement of either a wall or tower structure being used at</p>	<p>The East Anglia ONE North and TWO have jointly, with Vattenfall,</p>	

Topic	Norfolk Projects	Natural England	East Suffolk Council	Great Yarmouth Borough Council	RSPB	MMO	East Anglia ONE North and TWO	Outstanding Actions
could be used at the Port of Great Yarmouth	structure could be used at the Port of Great Yarmouth.	being used at the Port of Great Yarmouth. Indicated a slight preference for a tower structure at KSCG meeting 4.	but ESC can only comment on sites proposed within our District.	the Port of Great Yarmouth.	the Port of Great Yarmouth. As stated above, the RSPB has a strong ecological preference for the Lowestoft location.	the Port of Great Yarmouth.	selected Lowestoft as the location to deliver kittiwake compensation.	
Agreement on the number of nests being provided	Norfolk Projects presented in its In-principle compensation documents three different figures for the number of collisions of kittiwakes, based on the Norfolk Projects' assessment and the average and upper 95% confidence estimates applying NE's precautionary approach. The respective mortalities were 5, 21 and 60 for Vanguard and 6, 14 and 28 for Boreas. The number of nest spaces required to compensate for each of these values was calculated and presented (Vanguard: 51, 213, 612; Boreas: 66, 150, 300) applying the same method in each case. There was therefore a clear connection in the Norfolk Projects' submissions between the collision estimates and the scale of compensation required. The SoS stated in the Habitats Regulations Assessment documents that the impacts to be compensated were the middle values (Vanguard: 21; Boreas: 14), which can be seen, equate to nest provisions of 213 and 150 respectively. Therefore these have been used as the basis for the Norfolk	The KIMP has been developed on the basis that the SoS has mandated compensation at a level of 35 kittiwakes per annum being recruited into the wider kittiwake population. NE considers the location, design and scale of what the KIMP proposes at Lowestoft has the potential to provide this level of compensation. NE recognise the scale of compensation proposed is likely to provide an equivalent number of recruits to those lost at FFC SPA into the biogeographic population. However, NE's view is that the scale of compensation should seek to provide a realistic chance of the national site network, rather than the biogeographic population, receiving an equivalent number of recruits to that lost. In this context the current compensation is likely to be insufficient to deliver this. While 432 nests would provide appropriate compensation for Boreas (and potentially Boreas plus East Anglia ONE North and TWO, we do not consider that it would provide appropriate compensation for both Norfolk Projects (irrespective of the East Anglia ONE North and TWO' requirements).	Have no comment on the number of nests that is required but will defer to NE on this matter.	Have no comment on the number of nests that is required.	The RSPB notes the description provided by the Norfolk Projects and that these numbers have been used for detailed design of the artificial nesting structures. RSPB notes new wording drafted since circulation of this version following discussions between the Norfolk Projects and NE. RSPB reviewed the final version of the agreement log and confirm that the initial position stated above remains an accurate reflection of our position	Have no comment on the number of nests that is required.	The proposed compensation has the potential to accommodate up to 432 nests which provides suitable allowance for the East Anglia Projects' compensation requirements (15 nests in total, 7 and 8 for East Anglia ONE North and TWO, respectively). Further details are provided in KIMP.	

Topic	Norfolk Projects	Natural England	East Suffolk Council	Great Yarmouth Borough Council	RSPB	MMO	East Anglia ONE North and TWO	Outstanding Actions
	Projects' compensation structure designs.							
Agreement on the Tower Structure design (paragraph 3 (b) of Compensation schedule)	<p>Designs have been updated to address relevant comments made on wall structure including</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Individual hatches for nests. Mess avoidance on lower nests. 	<p>Raised concern regarding the large central bar and how this may create a predation opportunity for gulls. However, if this is addressed through Option 2 (Slide 27) as presented in KSCG meeting 3 then this agreed.</p> <p>Following the provision of Annex 5 of the KIMP showing the change in central ledge design this is now agreed.</p>	<p>Raised concern regarding the height of the tower if installed at Lowestoft as it will not be screened and suggested lowering the structure into the foundations if possible. Now that it has been confirmed that the tower structure will not be installed at Lowestoft ESC have no further comment on tower design.</p>	Agreed at KSCG meeting 3.	Agreed at KSCG meeting 4.	Agreed at KSCG meeting 3.	The East Anglia ONE North and TWO will deliver compensation collaboratively with the Norfolk Projects utilising the agreed design structure. Details are presented in the KIMP.	
Programme (paragraph3 (c) of Compensation schedule)								
Programme	The programme is driven by the need for the compensation to be in place for four full breeding seasons prior to turbines turning. In order to achieve government and project targets that required the fence to be operational prior to the 2023 breeding season. The proposed programme to achieve this was presented at KSCG meeting 1 and a recap during KSCG meeting 2.	It is agreed that the programme is suitable to deliver the compensation package in time to be in place for four full breeding seasons prior to turbines becoming operational (subject to all permissions being in place).	It is agreed that the programme is suitable to deliver the compensation package in time to be in place for four full breeding seasons prior to turbines becoming operational (subject to all permissions being in place).	It is agreed that the programme is suitable to deliver the compensation package in time to be in place for four full breeding seasons prior to turbines becoming operational (subject to all permissions being in place).	It is agreed that the programme is suitable to deliver the compensation package in time to be in place for four full breeding seasons prior to turbines becoming operational (subject to all permissions being in place).	It is agreed that the programme is suitable to deliver the compensation package in time to be in place for four full breeding seasons prior to turbines becoming operational (subject to all permissions being in place).	The programme is driven by the need for the compensation to be in place for four full breeding seasons prior to turbines turning. Construction of the wall was completed prior to the 2023 breeding season. Further details of the programme are presented in the KIMP.	
Compensation Management and Maintenance								
Maintenance (paragraph 3 (e) of Compensation schedule)	The Norfolk Projects proposals for maintenance of the structures is provided in the draft KIMP.	Agreed at KSCG meeting 3.	Agreed at KSCG meeting 3, subject to final comments to the KIMP.	Agreed at KSCG meeting 3.	Agreed at KSCG meeting 3.	Agreed at KSCG meeting 3.	Details of maintenance of structures are presented in the KIMP and follows agreements made to date.	
Success Criteria & Adaptive Management and reporting								
Monitoring (paragraph 3 (d) of Compensation)	The Norfolk Projects proposals for monitoring of	20.07.22 Could confirmation be provided that the monitoring will reflect, where	Agreed in principle at KSCG meeting 4 following further	GYBC to defer to NE and RSPB on these points.	Agreed in principle at KSCG meeting 4 following further	MMO to defer to NE and RSPB on these points.	The East Anglia ONE North and TWO will deliver compensation	Report to KCSG on outcomes of meeting

Topic	Norfolk Projects	Natural England	East Suffolk Council	Great Yarmouth Borough Council	RSPB	MMO	East Anglia ONE North and TWO	Outstanding Actions
schedule – general Approach	the structures is provided in the draft KIMP.	<p>needed, the latest JNCC seabird monitoring guidance. NE assumes that egg counting will be achieved through use of the nest hatches. However, could confirmation of this please be provided.</p> <p>The key monitoring outputs are pairs and productivity. This will allow identification of any need for adaptive management and also track any debt/surplus. Whatever ongoing monitoring beyond the initial period needs to robustly inform these as an absolute minimum. The monitoring may be reduced in frequency however, it is our opinion that monitoring will be required for the lifetime of the project.</p> <p>Collaboration with other Offshore Wind Farm developers would be beneficial, especially with regard to monitoring of the regional population size. More generally, collaboration with other kittiwake monitoring efforts in the area would be efficient.</p> <p>KSCG meeting 3 – would it be a good idea to bring in a third party to help determine targets in relation to productivity.</p> <p>Agreed in principle at KSCG meeting 4 following further discussion and review of V3 of the Norfolk Projects KIMP.</p>	discussion and review of V3 of the KIMP. ESC defer to NE and the RSPB on the specific details of the monitoring required as part of this compensation.	Agreed in principle at KSCG meeting 4 following further discussion and review of V3 of the KIMP.	discussion and review of V3 of the KIMP.	Agreed in principle at KSCG meeting 4 following further discussion and review of V3 of the KIMP.	collaboratively with the Norfolk Projects utilising the agreed approach to monitoring. The KIMP notes the option for future discussions on proportionate monitoring for the East Anglia ONE North and TWO should the collaborative approach not provide the required compensatory effect.	with experts on kittiwake productivity.
Monitoring (paragraph 3 (d) of Compensation schedule – Ringing	Norfolk Projects agrees with NE's suggestion to include ringing, which will also assist in identifying any movement of adults between colonies. Before embarking on this	20.07.22 NE would advise consideration of colour ringing of adults as well as chicks breeding at the compensation site. This may give information on if the birds return to breed	Agreed in principle at KSCG meeting 4 following further discussion and review of V3 of the KIMP. ESC defer to NE and the	GYBC to defer to NE and RSPB. Agreed in principle at KSCG meeting 4 following further	Agreed in principle at KSCG meeting 4 following further discussion and review of V3 of the KIMP.	MMO to defer to NE and RSPB. Agreed in principle at KSCG meeting 4 following further	The East Anglia ONE North and TWO will deliver compensation collaboratively with the Norfolk Projects utilising the agreed approach to	

Topic	Norfolk Projects	Natural England	East Suffolk Council	Great Yarmouth Borough Council	RSPB	MMO	East Anglia ONE North and TWO	Outstanding Actions
	however consideration will need to be given to the level of resighting effort that would be required, as well as the welfare implications.	each year, a low proportion returning may give an indication of an issue at the colony. However, we note concerns regarding adult welfare and the need to consider if such monitoring would be practicable. Agreed at KSCG meeting 4 following further discussion and review of V3 of the KIMP.	RSPB on the specific details of the monitoring required as part of this compensation.	discussion and review of V3 of the KIMP.		discussion and review of V3 of the KIMP.	monitoring. The KIMP notes the option for future discussions on proportionate monitoring for the East Anglia ONE North and TWO should the collaborative approach not provide the required compensatory effect.	
Details of how results will be reported (paragraph 3 (f) of Compensation schedule)	Norfolk Projects included an indicative timetable of reporting within the KIMP.	Agreed at KSCG meeting 4 following further discussion and review of V3 of the KIMP.	Agreed in principle at KSCG meeting 4 following further discussion and review of V3 of the KIMP.	Agreed in principle at KSCG meeting 4 following further discussion and review of V3 of the KIMP.	Agreed in principle at KSCG meeting 4 following further discussion and review of V3 of the KIMP.	Agreed in principle at KSCG meeting 4 following further discussion and review of V3 of the KIMP.	Details of reporting requirements are presented in the KIMP.	
Details of how natal dispersal and colony interchange with the FFC kittiwake colony should be investigated. Note, this is a requirement specified in the Norfolk Projects Compensation Schedules; equivalent detail is not provided in the East Anglia ONE North and TWO Compensation Schedules but has been presented here for completeness.	Further details of natal dispersal and colony interchange were provided following a meeting of expert ornithologists on the 14 th September 2022.	20.07.22 NE questions how it will be determined that the compensation has not secured an increase in the number of adult kittiwake available to recruit? As this will trigger the need for adaptive management it is important that the principles and approach be agreed. We would suggest that a productivity approach could be developed alongside current proposals. Agreed in principle at KSCG meeting 4 following further discussion and review of V3 of the KIMP.	Agreed in principle at KSCG meeting 4 following further discussion and review of V3 of the KIMP. ESC defer to NE and the RSPB on the specific details of the monitoring required as part of this compensation.	Agreed in principle at KSCG meeting 4 following further discussion and review of V3 of the KIMP.	Agreed in principle at KSCG meeting 4 following further discussion and review of V3 of the KIMP.	Agreed in principle at KSCG meeting 4 following further discussion and review of V3 of the KIMP.	The East Anglia ONE North and TWO will deliver compensation collaboratively with the Norfolk Projects utilising the agreed approach to monitoring. The KIMP notes the option for future discussions on proportionate monitoring for the East Anglia ONE North and TWO should the collaborative approach not provide the required compensatory effect.	
Determination of appropriate range of productivity to be used as a measure of success	The plan is for this to be discussed and agreed at KSCG meeting 5 post KIMP submission. Aim is 180 fledged chicks/year, in at least 3 out of 5 years (but in context of performance of regional populations as this may indicate wider underlying causes).		ESC to defer to NE and RSPB. Agreed in principle at KSCG meeting 4 following further discussion and review of V3 of the KIMP.	GYBC to defer to NE and RSPB. Agreed in principle at KSCG meeting 4 following further discussion and review of V3 of the KIMP.	Agreed in principle at KSCG meeting 4 following further discussion and review of V3 of the KIMP.	MMO to defer to NE and RSPB. Agreed in principle at KSCG meeting 4 following further discussion and review of V3 of the KIMP.	The East Anglia ONE North and TWO will deliver compensation collaboratively with the Norfolk Projects utilising the agreed approach to monitoring. The KIMP notes the option for future discussions on proportionate monitoring for the East Anglia ONE North and TWO should the collaborative approach not provide	Final agreement is to be determined at KSCG meeting 5 and is not required for submission of the KIMP.

Topic	Norfolk Projects	Natural England	East Suffolk Council	Great Yarmouth Borough Council	RSPB	MMO	East Anglia ONE North and TWO	Outstanding Actions
							the required compensatory effect.	
Minutes								
Minutes approved (paragraph 2 (c) of the Norfolk Projects Compensation schedules)	Final minutes circulated to KSCG for: KSCG meeting 1 KSCG meeting 2 KSCG Meeting 3 KSG Meeting 4	Minutes approved from KSCG meeting 1 Minutes approved from KSCG meeting 2 with one minor amendment. Minutes approved from KSCG Meeting 3 KSG Meeting 4 minute approved via email (25/10/2022) with a minor amendment which was accepted)	Minutes approved from KSCG meeting 1 Minutes approved from KSCG meeting 2 Minutes approved from KSCG Meeting 3 KSG Meeting 4 minute agreed via email (14/10/2022)	Minutes approved from KSCG meeting 1 Minutes approved from KSCG meeting 2 Minutes approved from KSCG Meeting 3 KSG Meeting 4 minute agreed via email (26/10/2022)	Minutes approved from KSCG meeting 1 Minutes approved from KSCG meeting 2 Minutes approved from KSCG Meeting 3 (with some minor amendments provided) KSG Meeting 4 minute agreed via email (14/10/2022)	Did not attend KSCG meeting 1 Minutes approved from KSCG meeting 2 Minutes approved from KSCG Meeting 3 KSG Meeting 4 minute agreed via email	ScottishPower Renewables circulated the East Anglia ONE North and TWO KIMP for review, comments received have been provided below. No Project specific KCSG meeting was required. Meeting minutes of previous KCSG meetings are provided in the Norfolk Projects be required details of meeting minutes will be circulated for review and approval.	
KIMP Review –								
Issued Revision of the KIMP for KCSG on 18/04/2023	No comments received	Following the steering group meetings with Vattenfall's Norfolk Projects in 2022, it was agreed that matters discussed and agreed upon for Norfolk Boreas and Norfolk Vanguard are applicable to the East Anglia ONE North and East Anglia Two projects. Taking this into account, we refer you to Natural England's response to the BEIS consultation on the Norfolk Boreas and Norfolk Vanguard KIMPs EN010087-003005-KIMP-NE-Response.pdf (planninginspectorate.gov.uk), to form the main bulk of our response to the East Anglia ONE North and East Anglia Two KIMP. As stated in the above response, Natural England advised that the compensation	I can confirm that we have reviewed the 'KIMP' and agreement log provided. The only comments we wish to make at this time relates to the KIMP, we feel this should include photographs of the completed nesting structures. It was also noted that Page 18 – Fig 3 And 4 refers to 'proposed' site at Port of Lowestoft which could read 'selected site'. Finally, in reference to Section 9 – Annual reporting to SoS and NE – ESC would like to be copied into this reporting activity (in parallel to updates via the Steering Group).	No comments received	No comments received	No comments received	Updated KIMP with ESC suggested amendments. No amendments made in regard to NE comments. East Anglia ONE North and TWO provided a response via email clarifying that the level of compensation provided is sufficient to discharge the compensation conditions under the DCOs for the two SPR projects (issued 05/06/2023)	N/A

Topic	Norfolk Projects	Natural England	East Suffolk Council	Great Yarmouth Borough Council	RSPB	MMO	East Anglia ONE North and TWO	Outstanding Actions
		<p>for Norfolk Project KIMP was insufficient for Boreas and Vanguard, and therefore it follows that the compensation is not sufficient for those two projects with the addition of the impacts from East Anglia ONE North and East Anglia Two. This reflects the Secretary of State's (SOS) HRA's for these projects seeking a quantum of compensation that relates to providing additional recruits to the wider biogeographic population, rather than a level of compensation that will provide sufficient recruits into the national site network.</p> <p>Similar to the Norfolk Projects, our eventual advice will highlight that during the East Anglia ONE North and East Anglia TWO Examination, the in-principle submissions referred to a greater level of nest provision than is now being provided. The in-principle plan referred to the provision of 100 nest spaces, which was understood to be 100 nest spaces per project.</p> <p>(Received 25/05/23)</p>	<p>(Received 28/04/23)</p>					